

Seismic and experimental insights into eruption precursors at Volcán de Colima

Oliver D. Lamb¹, Silvio De Angelis¹, Richard J. Wall¹, Anthony Lamur¹,
Nick R. Varley², Gabriel Reyes-Dávila³, Raul Arámbula-Mendoza³, Adrian J.
Hornby¹, Jackie E. Kendrick¹, and Yan Lavallée¹

Oliver Lamb, o.lamb@liv.ac.uk

¹Dept. of Earth, Ocean and Ecological
Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool,
UK.

²Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de
Colima, Colima, Mexico.

³Centro Universitario de Estudios e
Investigaciones Vulcanologicas, Universidad
de Colima, Colima, Mexico

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/2017GL073350

We combine geophysical and experimental observations to interpret pre-eruptive unrest at Volcán de Colima in 1998. 17,893 volcanic earthquakes were detected between 1 October and 31 December 1998, including 504 clusters. Using seismic ambient noise interferometry, we observe a drop in velocity prior to the eruption linked to damage accumulation during magma ascent. This is supported by experimental observations where static stress causes a velocity decrease prior to failure. Furthermore, we observe acoustic emission clusters during the experiments, with lower porosity samples producing higher numbers of repeaters. This behaviour introduces tensile failure as an additional viable mechanism for clusters during magma ascent. The findings suggest pre-eruptive magma ascent may be monitored to variable degrees of accuracy via descriptions of damage accumulation and associated seismic velocity changes.

Keypoints:

- Multi-disciplinary seismic and experimental investigation of the 1998 eruption at Volcán de Colima.
- Relative velocity decrease seen in seismic ambient noise and during experimental Brazil tests prior to eruption/failure.
- Evidence for tensile failure in the volcanic edifice as a source of repeating earthquakes during magma ascent.

1. Introduction

An important aspect of volcano monitoring is assessing whether a period of unrest will portend an eruption. Recent studies have shown that seismic interferometry, using either the coda waves of repeating earthquakes or ambient noise, holds considerable potential as a tool for monitoring active volcanoes [e.g. *Brenguier et al.*, 2008; *Hotovec-Ellis et al.*, 2015]. Seismic wave velocities are dependent on the physical properties of the material through which they travel, and velocity changes may be induced by property changes. Seismic velocity is frequently observed to decrease prior to eruption, and subsequently increase as the eruption ensues; a pattern often attributed to cycles of static stress due to magma movement [e.g. *Ratdomopurbo and Poupinet*, 1995; *Wegler et al.*, 2006; *Brenguier et al.*, 2008; *Duputel et al.*, 2009; *Hotovec-Ellis et al.*, 2015].

Laboratory experiments have enabled relative velocity changes to be recorded over a range of pressure and temperature conditions. The accumulation of fracture damage during loading under deviatoric stresses causes a elastic velocity decrease in the tested samples [e.g. *Heap et al.*, 2010]. During rock failure tests, individual micro-cracking events are recorded via acoustic emissions (AEs). AEs have been demonstrated to precede material failure under temperature and stress conditions typical of shallow volcanic conduits [e.g. *Lavallée et al.*, 2008]. Further investigations have used the characteristics of AEs under controlled conditions to decipher the source mechanisms of volcanic earthquakes [e.g. *Benson et al.*, 2008; *Smith et al.*, 2009]. The recent advances in experimental rock mechanics have improved our understanding of field-scale volcanic processes.

Very few investigations on seismic velocity changes at volcanoes have sought to replicate their results in laboratory settings. In this paper we conduct a joint seismic and experimental investigation of the mechanical response of edifice rocks prior to the November 1998 effusive eruption of Volcán de Colima, Mexico. We utilise the ambient seismic noise method on seismic data collected during this period and replicate our observations via experimental tests on samples collected from Volcán de Colima. This dual approach enables us to build a more robust interpretation of the processes occurring during pre-eruptive magma ascent. Ultimately, we aim to demonstrate the potential of cross-disciplinary investigations between the fields of seismology, experimental volcanology and rock mechanics.

1.1. 1998 eruption of Volcán de Colima

Volcán de Colima (VdC) is an andesitic stratovolcano located in western Mexico (Fig. 1). From 1998 to 2011, VdC experienced multiple phases of lava extrusion, interspersed by explosive activity accompanied by emplacement of pyroclastic flows and occasional dome collapses, providing a valuable opportunity to study a rapidly evolving volcanic system. The first phase of activity was preceded by five swarms of deep and shallow volcanic earthquakes over the course of a year [Zobin *et al.*, 2002a]. The final swarm in November 1998, located <3 km beneath the summit, heralded the appearance of the dome at the summit vent on 20 November [Zobin *et al.*, 2002a]. The dome rapidly filled the summit crater before spilling over the SW rim to form a lava flow. Rockfalls and multiple pyroclastic flows originated from the repeated collapse of the flow front. This phase of extrusion ended in February 1999 with a lava flow extending to a final length of 3.8 km.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Seismic

Seismic data used in this study were collected by the Colima Telemetric Seismic Network (Red Sísmica de Colima, RESCO), managed by Centro Universitario de Estudios e Investigaciones Vulcanologicas (CUIEV). In late 1998, this network consisted of five short-period seismometers (EZV3 - EZV7) located within 7 km of the volcano summit (Fig. 1). Signals were telemetered to CUIEV and were recorded with a sampling rate of 100 Hz. We applied multi-station earthquake detection from 1 October to 31 December 1998. Candidate trigger times were extracted from multiple stations using a short-term average/long-term average (STA/LTA) algorithm, on condition that an event was detected at three or more stations, assuming a reference seismic velocity of 2.5 km s^{-1} [Núñez-Cornú *et al.*, 2010]. Seismic data were pre-processed with a bandpass filter between 1-7 Hz to improve signal-to-noise ratio.

Epicentres of 600 earthquakes prior to the eruption of VdC in 1998 were located generally NE of the summit vent [Fig. 1; *Zobin et al.*, 2002a]. The number and distribution of stations around VdC, as well as the emergent nature of most detected seismicity, does not enable accurate locations of more earthquakes. In order to track relative changes in the location of all seismicity prior to eruption at a higher temporal resolution, we employed the Seismic Amplitude Ratio Analysis method [SARA; *Caudron et al.*, 2015]. This technique calculates the ratio of seismic intensity recorded at different seismic stations which are independent of seismic energy at the source. Since exceptional changes in attenuation are unlikely to occur at days-months timescales, temporal variations in the ratios must be explained by changes in source locations. Following a similar methodology to *Caudron*

et al. [2015], we calculated a 10-min average Real-time Seismic Amplitudes (RSAM) for data from EZV3, EZV4 and EZV7 before using a 6-hour rolling median filter to smooth the data. Data from EZV5 and EZV6 were not used as they were contaminated by high levels of anthropogenic noise. *Caudron et al.* [2015] noted that site effects, gains and sensitivity changes would correspond to a vertical shift in ratios, whereas changes in attenuation and wave regime would result in dilation or contraction. Therefore, we can use the relative changes rather than actual values that would require corrections (e.g., site effects).

Clusters, or groups of earthquakes with similar waveforms, are significant as they represent non-destructive sources at, generally, a fixed location [*Iverson et al.*, 2006; *Neuberg et al.*, 2006; *Waite et al.*, 2008; *Varley et al.*, 2010; *Kendrick et al.*, 2014; *Lamb et al.*, 2015]. We built a catalogue of clusters at VdC by applying waveform cross-correlation to our earthquake database. For each detected event, we use the first 5 s of the waveform; this time is sufficient as it includes the largest amplitudes of most waveforms whilst minimising the contribution of background noise. Seismograms from station EZV7 were used to build the catalogue, as this station was closest to the summit vent (Fig. 1), and typically has the highest signal-to-noise ratio. All waveforms were filtered with a 0.5 - 20 Hz bandpass Butterworth filter to further increase the signal-to-noise ratio. The normalized cross-correlation coefficient (CCC) lies between 0 and 1, where 0 is unlike and 1 is identical, and was evaluated for every possible pair of earthquakes. A minimum CCC of 0.8 was used to define two or more earthquakes as a cluster. Using a CCC of 0.7

designates a higher proportion of earthquakes into clusters, but this is rejected as many of the clusters did not correlate visually (Figure S2).

Several studies have successfully used seismic ambient noise to detect small variations in seismic velocity prior to volcanic eruptions [e.g. *Brenguier et al.*, 2008]. The method uses repeated cross-correlations of seismic noise recorded at two seismic stations to assess the velocity properties of the sub-surface medium between them [*Sens-Schönfelder and Wegler*, 2006]. A key advantage of this technique is that it bypasses the need for repeating earthquakes to assess the seismic velocity [e.g. *Poupinet et al.*, 1984]. For our calculations we used the MSNoise software, an open source python package for monitoring seismic velocity changes using ambient seismic noise [*Lecocq et al.*, 2014]. A cross-correlation function (CCF) was calculated from ambient noise seismic data from each individual pair of stations. Velocity variations were then calculated from different arrivals between individual CCFs and the reference CCF. Further details of the method and MSNoise program can be found in *Lecocq et al.* [2014]. For our calculations, instrument responses were not removed because they were identical and constant in time at all stations. CCFs were calculated for time lags of ± 120 s within the frequency interval 0.5 - 1.1 Hz. This interval minimises the influence of volcanic earthquakes whose peak frequencies generally ranged from 1 - 10 Hz. We used a reference function stacked from noise recorded during October 1998, and calculated changes in velocity from stacks calculated over 5- and 10-day moving windows. A full list of the parameters used in the MSNoise package can be found in Table S1.

2.2. Experimental

In order to constrain the interpretation of seismological observations, we conducted deformation experiments to simulate the stressing conditions under which velocity change may be induced. We employed the Brazil test method to induce tensile failure [e.g. *Li and Wong*, 2013] in andesite lavas collected from the edifice of VdC. The tests involve the diametric compression of a sample disc (2:1 diameter:length aspect ratio), which induces tensional stresses in the orthogonal direction until the disc fails. This pseudo-tensile regime replicates, and can be used as a proxy for, the stress distribution associated with magma ascent prior to eruption. For our experiments, cylindrical samples of diameter 40 mm were drilled and then cut to a length of 20 mm, with the porosity of each disc measured using an Accupyc 1340 He pycnometer.

The Brazil tests were carried out in a 100 kN Instron uniaxial press at room temperature and compressive deformation rate of $0.4 \mu\text{m s}^{-1}$, corresponding to a diametral strain rate of 10^{-5} s^{-1} (Fig. S4). During the experiments, load was recorded by an Instron Dynacell 2527 load cell at 100 Hz, and tensile stress (σ_t) was calculated in real-time. Simultaneously, two ceramic piezoelectric transducers (PZT) attached to diametrically opposing faces of the disc (Fig. S4) monitored AE data at a sampling rate of 1 MHz. The signals from each transducer were first fed through 20 dB amplifiers before reaching a PAC PCI-2 two channel recording system, with a bandwidth of 0.001-3 MHz and capable of simultaneous hit based collection and waveform streaming. For each experiment we tracked the hits per second and the energy of each hit; energy was calculated using the root-mean-square of the recorded waveform. AE clusters were identified using the a similar approach to that

used to identify seismic clusters (previous section); but, no bandpass filter was used. To calculate elastic velocity properties of the sample, one PZT was set to produce ‘pulses’ for the entire experiment duration while the other PZT recorded the pulses after they travelled through the sample. During the experiment, bursts of five pulses, each spaced 0.5 s apart, were triggered every 5 s. Each received pulse ‘burst’ was stacked to increase the signal-to-noise ratio before using coda wave interferometry (CWI) to calculate change in velocity during the experiment. CWI uses the degree of correlation of the coda waves for two waves from different time intervals to calculate the variance in travel time perturbation. In particular, the correlation coefficient, R , is related to the variance of the travel-time perturbation, σ_τ , and to the frequency, $\bar{\omega}^2$, according to the following relationship [Snieder *et al.*, 2002]:

$$R = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}^2\sigma_\tau^2 \quad (1)$$

The frequency, $\bar{\omega}^2$, can be calculated from the seismogram data, $u(t)$:

$$\bar{\omega}^2 = \frac{\int_{t-T}^{t+T} \dot{u}^2(t') dt'}{\int_{t-T}^{t+T} u^2(t') dt'} \quad (2)$$

where the integral is performed over a window of length $2T$ centred at time t . The velocity change follows from the travel time perturbation:

$$\frac{\delta v}{v} = -\sigma_\tau^2 \quad (3)$$

For our reference waveform, we used the stacked waveform from the first ‘burst’. $\delta v/v$ was then calculated against this reference at the time of each of the following ‘burst’ in the experiment. *Grêt et al.* [2006] used a similar method to track changes in rock properties in response to changes in stress, temperature and water saturation.

3. Results

From 1 October to 31 December 1998, a total of 17,893 earthquakes were detected using our multi-station detection method on data from the RESCO network (Fig. 2a). We observe a gradual and steady rise in the number of seismic events detected per hour in the period 1-20 November 1998. Simultaneously, we observe an unsteady rise in the amplitude ratios of EZV4/EZV3 and EZV7/EZV3 that indicates the relative movement of earthquake sources towards stations EZV4 and EZV7 prior to the eruption on 20 November (Fig. 2b). We also observe that amplitude ratios for EZV4 are higher than that of EZV7, even though the latter is closer to the summit vent (Fig. 1). After 20 November, the seismic record is dominated by rockfalls originating from the lava flow on the western flank of VdC, thus explaining the larger EZV4/EZV3 ratio in the second half of our period of analysis. A total of 1,313 earthquakes were defined as repeating events, representing 7.3% of the catalogue, and spread across 504 clusters containing between 2-22 events (Fig. 2c). 355 clusters were active during the 1 - 20 November earthquake swarm, of which <10 continue after this period. Using the ambient seismic noise during this period, we observe a $\sim 0.2\%$ decrease in seismic velocity from mid-October to 20 November (Fig. 2a). This is followed by what appears to be a sharp recovery of 0.2% shortly after the

beginning of the eruption, but low coherence and large errors in measurements prevent consistent calculations during the second half of our period of analysis (Fig. S3).

Ten Brazil tests were conducted on samples with a porosity constrained at 0.07 ± 0.02 (COL216), 0.15 ± 0.01 (COLP2), and 0.22 ± 0.02 (COLP21). The tensile strength of the samples was inversely proportional to the porosity, ranging between 7-22 MPa (Fig. S5a).

AE hit rate and cumulative AE energy showed an exponential increase prior to sample failure (Fig. 3a,b). Calculated relative velocity changes during the experiments showed a consistent decrease in measurements through all samples, with no apparent relation to sample porosity (Fig. 3c). We see very similar results when repeating these calculations but using the last pulse as a reference instead (Fig. S6). We successfully detected AE clusters by cross-correlating waveforms collected during one test from each sample (Fig. 3d,e,f). The proportion of AE clusters was higher in samples of lower porosity. For COL216, 685 groups of repeating events were detected, containing 1657 hits (5.2% of all AEs detected), COLP2 had 152 groups with 337 hits (2.3%), and COLP21 had 25 groups with 56 hits (0.37%).

4. Discussion

By conducting a joint seismic and experimental investigation of the 1998 eruption of VdC, we aimed to establish the potential for using multi-disciplinary approaches to understand pre-eruptive seismic activity. The transport and eventual eruption of magma requires the formation of a pathway, and in the process, rocks fracture seismogenically, providing a key proxy to forecast the eruption onset [Smith *et al.*, 2009]. Indeed, this was inferred by previous analysis of the pre-eruptive volcano-seismic swarms of 1997-98 [Zobin

et al., 2002a], and is also clearly seen in the seismic observations here (Fig. 2a). Using SARA on seismic data from EZV3, EZV4 and EZV7 stations, we found that the sources of the seismic activity tended to drift towards the stations closest to the vent during this period (Fig. 2b). Amplitude ratios at EZV4 tended to be higher than that of EZV7, even though the latter was located closer to the summit vent. This is likely an effect of the pyroclastic deposits where EZV4 is located, compared to the lava dome directly beneath EZV7.

Relative velocity changes measured over this period indicate a decrease of $\sim 0.2\%$ prior to eruption. Low coherence and large errors in calculation prevent velocity calculations after the eruption from being well resolved. However, repeating the calculations with reference waveforms stacked from the whole period or December alone consistently calculates a slow velocity recovery following the eruption (Fig. S1b, d). This cycle of decrease-increase around volcanic eruptions is similar in magnitude to those seen at other volcanoes [e.g. Ratdomopurbo and Poupinet, 1995; Wegler *et al.*, 2006; Brenguier *et al.*, 2008; Duputel *et al.*, 2009; Hotovec-Ellis *et al.*, 2015]. However, it does not agree with previous observations from VdC using a similar methodology [Lesage *et al.*, 2014]. Their measurements of seismic velocity from ambient noise covered a much larger period of 1998 to 2013 and observed no marked changes in relation to eruptive activity, including 1998. However, their reference cross-correlation function was stacked from over the whole period. While this step would result in a cleaner reference function, it would also dampen the effect of eruptive activity on velocity properties. In addition, Lesage *et al.* [2014] used a broader frequency range of 0.125-2 Hz as opposed to the 0.5-1.1 Hz used here. Repeating our mea-

measurements using a reference waveform stacked from the whole period of study produces dampened velocity change decrease prior to the eruption (Fig. S1b). Furthermore, using the wider frequency range produces erratic measurements that are consistent with our assertion that the volcanic earthquakes would influence the velocity change calculations (Fig. S1b-d).

Pre-eruptive velocity changes at other volcanoes have been linked to changes in stress in the volcano edifice. These changes may be induced by the expansion of pore spaces or cavities due to fluid saturation [Grêt *et al.*, 2006; Sens-Schönfelder and Wegler, 2006], stress changes imposed by passing seismic waves [Battaglia *et al.*, 2012; Hotovec-Ellis *et al.*, 2014; Lesage *et al.*, 2014], changes in surface snow load [Hotovec-Ellis *et al.*, 2014], or magma propagating through the host rock [Wegler *et al.*, 2006; Brenguier *et al.*, 2008; Hotovec-Ellis *et al.*, 2015]. Since the region had entered the dry season during our period of analysis, and no M5+ earthquakes were recorded within 800 km, we interpret our velocity change as a result of ascending magma. Our observations from Brazil tensile tests on samples from VdC lend support to this interpretation. Here, failure of the sample is analogous to the failure of host rock during magma ascent prior to the eruption at VdC on 20 November (Fig. 4). The measured porosities of our samples fall well within previously measured values on samples at VdC [Lavallée *et al.*, 2012], and are therefore representative of the materials making up the volcanic edifice [Mueller *et al.*, 2011]. The AE hit rate (Fig. 3a,b, S4) show similar trends to those seen during the November 1998 swarm (Fig. 2a). We observe a drop in the velocity of elastic waves travelling across the sample during the experiment (Fig. 3c), replicating the velocity changes seen in ambient seismic noise (Fig.

2c). This is indicative of fracture damage accumulation in the samples during loading [e.g. *Heap et al.*, 2010]. However, it must be noted that our experiments do not replicate the pressure and temperature conditions found at shallow depths in the volcanic edifice. Thus, the results can only be taken as a first order approximation of velocity changes associated with damage accumulation leading to tensile failure. A recent investigation concluded that higher confining (isostatic) pressures, analogous to greater depths in the crust, would dampen the reduction in elastic velocities due to crack opening [*Blake et al.*, 2013].

Clusters had previously been described prior to eruptions at VdC [*Varley et al.*, 2010] and other active volcanoes [e.g. *Hotovec-Ellis et al.*, 2015]. Waveform correlation of all the earthquakes from October to December 1998 identified 504 clusters, with a vast majority occurring during the November swarm (Fig. 2c). The number of short-lived clusters indicate that multiple sources were repeatedly triggered during magma ascent (Fig. 4b). Several source mechanisms have been inferred for clusters: ‘stick-slip’ failure on the margins of an ascending plug [e.g. *Iverson et al.*, 2006; *Kendrick et al.*, 2014; *Lamb et al.*, 2015], brittle failure of silicic magma on the conduit margins [e.g. *Neuberg et al.*, 2006; *Varley et al.*, 2010], and hydrothermal fluid motion [e.g. *Waite et al.*, 2008]. No lava plug was observed at the beginning of the eruption [*Zobin et al.*, 2002b], therefore the ‘stick-slip’ mechanism is unlikely to have occurred. The low percentile of repeating events in the catalogue (7.3%) suggests the occurrence of a relatively low-viscosity magma, no lava plug, and a high extrusion rate [*Thelen et al.*, 2011]; this agrees with observations during the eruption [e.g. the extrusion rate of $4.4 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, and new lava flow; *Zobin et al.*, 2002b].

We also detected AE clusters during our experiments to indicate repeated micro-cracking occurred prior to sample failure (Fig. 3d, e, f). We noticed an inverse correlation between the sample porosity and the number of AE clusters. This conforms with previous observations that increased porosity may act to inhibit dynamic fracture by crack arrest and/or by introducing a more heterogeneous stress field [Kierfeld and Vinokur, 2006; Ramos *et al.*, 2013]. We interpret the repeating events as the output of tensile, dynamic opening of new or existing fractures within the sample (Fig. 4b). This suggests that tensile opening of cracks in the country rock due to static stress from propagating magma could also produce short-lived clusters. Further work with rigorous testing of how sample heterogeneity may affect repetitive cracking and/or changes in velocity is required.

The velocity changes and the clusters at VdC during November 1998 may have been assisted by the presence of a ‘damage zone’ surrounding the central volcanic conduit (Fig. 4). The edifice at VdC is likely to be highly fractured and heterogeneous owing to the persistence of volcanic activity in recent centuries [Breton Gonzalez *et al.*, 2002]. Damage is observed at micro- to macro-scopic scales in the proximal deposits observed at VdC [e.g. Lavallée *et al.*, 2012]. This is supported by modelling at other dome-forming volcanoes, for example the existence of an intensely fractured damage zone surrounding the conduit can explain syn-eruptive strain data recorded at Soufrière Hills volcano [Young and Gottsmann, 2015]. As demonstrated by our Brazil test experiments, static stress from the ascending magma can open pre-existing or new fractures which reduces the velocity properties of the host rock (Fig. 4b). Inflation and deflation of the volcanic edifice was observed around the 20 November 1998 eruption [Ramírez-Ruiz *et al.*, 2002], illustrating

the cycle of static stress caused by the ascent and eruption of magma through the edifice.

In some cases, repeated activation can occur at the tips of the fractures as they open (Fig. 4b). Tracking AE clusters during experiments on samples of different porosity demonstrates how the heterogeneity may also hinder further reactivation of earthquake sources. The recovery of seismic velocity and cessation of clusters at VdC can be explained by the release of static stress after the beginning of the eruption.

Here, a combined field and laboratory investigation of seismic velocity changes associated with magma ascent has shown that velocity changes calculated via ambient seismic noise can be successfully used to assess eruption onset at Volcán de Colima. Furthermore, we have described evidence for dynamic crack propagation producing repetitive earthquakes that may have implications for future descriptions of pre-eruptive seismic activity. The study highlights the need for multi-disciplinary investigative approaches for interpreting shallow processes associated with volcanic unrest.

5. Conclusions

A multi-disciplinary approach was employed to investigate the seismic activity prior to the 20 November 1998 effusive eruption at Volcán de Colima, Mexico. Using seismic data recorded by the RESCO network of short-period seismometers, we constructed a database of 17,893 earthquakes during this period. From this database, we identified 504 clusters using waveform correlation. Velocity changes during this period were measured using ambient seismic noise and indicate a $\sim 0.2\%$ decrease prior to the eruption. We analysed natural and artificial acoustic emissions recorded during Brazil tensile tests on andesite from Volcán de Colima. We observed a decrease in elastic wave velocity prior to sample

failure, independent of sample porosity, which suggests that static stress due to ascending magma at Volcán de Colima was enough to induce velocity changes in the seismic data. We also observe a similarity in the pattern of clusters in the seismic and acoustic emission data, suggesting that tensile failure at crack tips is an additional viable source mechanism for clusters. This study highlights how using a multi-disciplinary approach to understanding geophysical signals can help future interpretations of volcanic unrest and constrain eruption onset.

Acknowledgments. This work was funded by the European Research Council (ERC) starting grant “Strain Localisation in Magmas” (SLiM, project no. 306488). The seismic data used in this study is property of Centro Universitario de Estudios e Investigaciones Vulcanologicas (CUIEV), Universidad de Colima. Any queries regarding the raw data must be addressed to CUIEV. The experimental data can be obtained from the corresponding author by email. We are grateful to Thomas Lecocq and Corentin Caudron for their advice on using the MSNoise python package. We are also grateful to Alicia Hotovec-Ellis and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive comments which greatly improved this article.

References

Battaglia, J., J. P. Métaxian, and E. Garaebiti, Earthquake-volcano interaction imaged by coda wave interferometry, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, L11,309, doi: 10.1029/2012GL052003, 2012.

Benson, P. M., S. Vinciguerra, P. G. Meredith, and R. P. Young, Laboratory simulation of volcano seismicity., *Science*, *322*(5899), 249–52, doi:10.1126/science.1161927, 2008.

Blake, O. O., D. R. Faulkner, and A. Rietbrock, The Effect of Varying Damage History in Crystalline Rocks on the P- and S-Wave Velocity under Hydrostatic Confining Pressure, *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, *170*(4), 493–505, doi:10.1007/s00024-012-0550-0, 2013.

Brenguier, F., N. M. Shapiro, M. Campillo, V. Ferrazzini, Z. Duputel, O. Coutant, and A. Nercessian, Towards forecasting volcanic eruptions using seismic noise, *Nature Geoscience*, *1*(2), 126–130, doi:10.1038/ngeo104, 2008.

Breton Gonzalez, M., J. J. Ramirez, and C. Navarro, Summary of the historical eruptive activity of Volcán De Colima, Mexico 1519 - 2000, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *117*, 21–46, 2002.

Caudron, C., B. Taisne, Y. Kugaenko, and V. Saltykov, Magma migration at the onset of the 2012-13 Tolbachik eruption revealed by Seismic Amplitude Ratio Analysis, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *307*, 60–67, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.09.010, 2015.

Duputel, Z., V. Ferrazzini, F. Brenguier, N. Shapiro, M. Campillo, and A. Nercessian, Real time monitoring of relative velocity changes using ambient seismic noise at the Piton de la Fournaise volcano (La Réunion) from January 2006 to June 2007, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *184*(1-2), 164–173, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2008.11.024, 2009.

Grêt, A., R. Snieder, and J. Scales, Time-lapse monitoring of rock properties with coda wave interferometry, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *111*(3), 1–11, doi:

10.1029/2004JB003354, 2006.

Heap, M. J., D. R. Faulkner, P. G. Meredith, and S. Vinciguerra, Elastic moduli evolution and accompanying stress changes with increasing crack damage: Implications for stress changes around fault zones and volcanoes during deformation, *Geophysical Journal International*, *183*(1), 225–236, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2010.04726.x, 2010.

Hotovec-Ellis, A. J., J. Gomberg, J. E. Vidale, and K. C. Creager, A continuous record of intereruption velocity change at Mount St. Helens from coda wave interferometry, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *119*, 2199–2214, doi:10.1002/2014JB011151, 2014.

Hotovec-Ellis, A. J., J. E. Vidale, J. Gomberg, W. A. Thelen, and S. C. Moran, Changes in seismic velocity during the first 14 months of the 2004-2008 eruption of Mount St. Helens, Washington, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *120*, 1–15, doi:10.1002/2013JB010742, 2015.

Iverson, R. M., et al., Dynamics of seismogenic volcanic extrusion at Mount St Helens in 2004-05., *Nature*, *444*(7118), 439–43, doi:10.1038/nature05322, 2006.

Kendrick, J. E., Y. Lavallée, T. Hirose, G. Di Toro, A. J. Hornby, S. De Angelis, and D. B. Dingwell, Volcanic drumbeat seismicity caused by stick-slip motion and magmatic frictional melting, *Nature Geoscience*, *7*, 438–442, doi:10.1038/NGEO2146, 2014.

Kierfeld, J., and V. M. Vinokur, Slow crack propagation in heterogeneous materials, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *96*, 175,502, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.175502, 2006.

Lamb, O. D., S. De Angelis, K. Umakoshi, A. J. Hornby, J. E. Kendrick, and Y. Lavallée, Repetitive fracturing during spine extrusion at Unzen volcano, Japan, *Solid Earth*, *6*(4),

1277–1293, doi:10.5194/se-6-1277-2015, 2015.

Lavallée, Y., P. G. Meredith, D. B. Dingwell, K.-U. Hess, J. Wassermann, B. Cordonnier, A. Gerik, and J. H. Kruhl, Seismogenic lavas and explosive eruption forecasting., *Nature*, *453*(7194), 507–10, doi:10.1038/nature06980, 2008.

Lavallée, Y., et al., Magmatic architecture of dome-building eruptions at Volcán de Colima, Mexico, *Bulletin of Volcanology*, *74*, 249–260, doi:10.1007/s00445-011-0518-4, 2012.

Lecoq, T., C. Caudron, and F. Brenguier, MSNoise, a Python Package for Monitoring Seismic Velocity Changes Using Ambient Seismic Noise, *Seismological Research Letters*, *85*(3), 715–726, doi:10.1785/0220130073, 2014.

Lesage, P., G. Reyes-Dávila, and R. Arámbula-Mendoza, Large tectonic earthquakes induce sharp temporary decreases in seismic velocity in Volcán de Colima, Mexico, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *119*, 4360–4376, doi:10.1002/2013JB010884, 2014.

Li, D., and L. N. Y. Wong, The brazilian disc test for rock mechanics applications: Review and new insights, *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, *46*, 269–287, doi:10.1007/s00603-012-0257-7, 2013.

Mueller, S., B. Scheu, U. Kueppers, O. Spieler, D. Richard, and D. B. Dingwell, The porosity of pyroclasts as an indicator of volcanic explosivity, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *203*(3-4), 168–174, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2011.04.006, 2011.

Neuberg, J. W., H. Tuffen, L. Collier, D. Green, T. Powell, and D. B. Dingwell, The trigger mechanism of low-frequency earthquakes on Montserrat, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, *153*(1-2), 37–50, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.08.008, 2006.

Núñez-Cornú, F. J., C. Suarez-Plascencia, M. Rutz Lopez, D. M. Vargas-Bracamontes, and J. J. Sanchez, Comparison of Seismic Characteristics of Four Cycles of Dome Growth and Destruction at Colima Volcano, Mexico, from 1991 to 2004, *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 100(5A), 1904–1927, doi:10.1785/0120080356, 2010.

Poupinet, G., W. L. Ellsworth, and J. Frechet, Monitoring velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: An application to the Calaveras Fault, California, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 89, 5719–5731, doi:10.1029/JB089iB07p05719, 1984.

Ramírez-Ruiz, J. J., H. Santiago-Jiménez, E. Alatorre-Chávez, and M. Bretón-González, EDM deformation monitoring of the 1997-2000 activity at Volcán de Colima, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 117(1-2), 61–67, doi:10.1016/S0377-0273(02)00235-4, 2002.

Ramos, O., P.-P. Cortet, S. Ciliberto, and L. Vanel, Experimental study of the effect of disorder on subcritical crack growth dynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110, 165,506, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.165506, 2013.

Ratdomopurbo, A., and G. Poupinet, Monitoring a temporal change of seismic velocity in a volcano: Application to the 1992 eruption of Mt. Merapi (Indonesia), *Geophysical Research Letters*, 22(7), 775–778, doi:10.1029/95GL00302, 1995.

Sens-Schönfelder, C., and U. Wegler, Passive image interferometry and seasonal variations of seismic velocities at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(21), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2006GL027797, 2006.

Smith, R., P. R. Sammonds, and C. R. Kilburn, Fracturing of volcanic systems: Experimental insights into pre-eruptive conditions, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*,

280(1-4), 211–219, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.01.032, 2009.

Snieder, R., A. Gret, H. Douma, and J. Scales, Coda Wave Interferometry Estimating Nonlinear Behaviour in Seismic Velocity, *Science*, 295, 2253–2255, doi:10.1126/science.1070015, 2002.

Thelen, W. A., S. Malone, and M. E. West, Multiplets: Their behavior and utility at dacitic and andesitic volcanic centers, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116(B08210), doi:10.1029/2010JB007924, 2011.

Varley, N. R., R. Arámbula-Mendoza, G. Reyes-Dávila, R. Sanderson, and J. Stevenson, Generation of Vulcanian activity and long-period seismicity at Volcán de Colima, Mexico, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 198(1-2), 45–56, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.08.009, 2010.

Waite, G. P., B. A. Chouet, and P. B. Dawson, Eruption dynamics at Mount St. Helens imaged from broadband seismic waveforms: Interaction of the shallow magmatic and hydrothermal systems, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(B2), 1–22, doi:10.1029/2007JB005259, 2008.

Wegler, U., B. G. Lühr, R. Snieder, and A. Ratdomopurbo, Increase of shear wave velocity before the 1998 eruption of Merapi volcano (Indonesia), *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(9), 4–7, doi:10.1029/2006GL025928, 2006.

Young, N. K., and J. Gottsmann, Shallow crustal mechanics from volumetric strain data: Insights from Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 120, 1559–1571, doi:10.1002/2014JB011551. Received, 2015.

Zobin, V. M., M. Gonzalez Amezcua, G. A. Reyes-Dávila, T. Dominguez, J. C. Cerda Chacon, and J. M. Chavez Alvarez, Comparative characteristics of the 1997-1998 seismic swarms preceding the November 1998 eruption of Volcan de Colima, Mexico, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 117, 47–60, 2002a.

Zobin, V. M., et al., Overview of the 1997 - 2000 activity of Volcán de Colima, Mexico, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 117, 1–19, 2002b.




Figure 1. Map of VdC with the RESCO network of short-period stations marked as they were located in 1998. The blue ellipse marks where epicentres of the November 1998 swarm were located by *Zobin et al.* [2002a]. Dashed lines mark planes along which amplitude ratios and ambient noise analysis were calculated. Insert: Map showing location of VdC in Mexico (red square), relative to the major cities of Mexico City and Guadalajara (black squares). Also indicated are the location of other Holocene volcanoes in central Mexico (triangles), as identified by the Smithsonian Global Volcanism Program [?].




Figure 2. (a) Events per hour from 1 October to 31 December 1998 (black bars) . Also plotted are the variations in seismic velocity calculated from 5-day (blue line) and 10-day (red) moving windows using seismic ambient noise. Gaps in lines represent periods where low coherence and large errors prevented viable calculations. The right y-axis has been limited to emphasise the change in velocity before the eruption. The full plot is available in supplementary Figure S1c. (b) Smoothed intensity ratios calculated from 10-min RSAM for EZV3, EZV4 and EZV7 stations. The original RSAM values are plotted in Figure S1. (c) Catalogue of clusters (black dots and grey lines) in our dataset from 1 October to 31 December 1998. Each plotted point represents an individual earthquake, and each line joins a cluster. The red dashed line in all plots represents the beginning of the effusive eruption at VdC on 20 November 1998.




Figure 3. Example results for a Brazil test on discs from COL216, COLP2 and COLP21 samples. (a) Cumulative number of AEs recorded per second during experiments. (b) Cumulative energy of the recorded AE (c) The change on velocity recorded during the experiment. (Results from all experiments are plotted in Fig. S5.) Catalogues of AE clusters recorded during a Brazil test for (d) COL216, (e) COLP2 and (f) COLP21 samples. Each black plotted point represents an individual AE, and each grey line joins groups of repeating events (i.e. clusters). The dashed red line marks the time at which the sample failed.




Figure 4. Two-stage illustration of our seismic and experimental observations for the 1998 eruption of VdC: (a) Before magma ascent or experiment initiation and (b) during magma ascent or sample tensile failure.